DRAFAT COPY Needs Review by EFED 7/6/98

MRID No. 444577-7

DATA EVALUATION RECORD SEEDLING EMERGENCE TIER I TEST § 122-1

CHEMICAL: Prohexadione calcium 1.

PC Code No.: 112600

2. TEST MATERIAL: BAS 125 06 W

Purity: 28.6%

CITATION:

<u>Authors:</u> R.S. Chetram

Tier I - Seedling Emergence Nontarget <u>Title:</u>

Phytotoxicity Study Using BAS 125 06 W

Study Completion Date:

July 9, 1997

ABC Laboratories California, Madera, CA Laboratory:

BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, Sponsor:

NC

<u>Laboratory Study ID:</u> 96575

MRID No.: 444577-74 D245631 DP Barcode:

Mark Mossler, M.S., Toxicologist, REVIEWED BY:

Golder Associates Inc.

Date: 7/1/95

Signature: Mat Mula

APPROVED BY: Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D., Senior Scientist,

Golder Associates Inc.

signature: P. Kosalwat

Date: 7 1 98

APPROVED BY:

Signature:

Date:

6. **STUDY PARAMETERS:**

Definitive Study Duration: 21 days

CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound but does not 7. fulfill the guideline requirements for a seedling emergence study with terrestrial plants. BAS 125 06 W at the maximum application rate (1.7 lb ai/A) plus 5.9 lb/A of ammonium sulfate plus 2.5 mL/L of surfactant were applied to ten species of terrestrial plant. None of the species tested were affected by 25% when compared to the control for each measured parameter.

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

Classification: Supplemental.



DATA EVALUATION RECORD SEEDLING EMERGENCE TIER I TEST § 122-1

1. CHEMICAL: Prohexadione calcium PC Code No.: 112600

2. TEST MATERIAL: BAS 125 06 W Purity: 28.6%

3. CITATION:

Authors: R.S. Chetram

<u>Title</u>: Tier I - Seedling Emergence Nontarget

Phytotoxicity Study Using BAS 125 06 W

Study Completion Date: July 9, 1997

Laboratory: ABC Laboratories California, Madera, CA

Sponsor: BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park,

NC

Laboratory Study ID: 96575

MRID No.: 444577-74 <u>DP Barcode</u>: D245631

4. REVIEWED BY: Mark Mossler, M.S., Toxicologist,

Golder Associates Inc.

Signature:

Date:

APPROVED BY: Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D., Senior Scientist,

Golder Associates Inc.

Signature:

Date:

5. APPROVED BY:

Signature:

Date:

6. STUDY PARAMETERS:

Definitive Study Duration: 21 days

- 7. CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill the guideline requirements for a seedling emergence study with terrestrial plants. BAS 125 06 W at the maximum application rate (1.7 lb ai/A) plus 5.9 lb/A of ammonium sulfate plus 2.5 mL/L of surfactant were applied to ten species of terrestrial plant. None of the species tested were affected by 25% when compared to the control for each measured parameter.
- 8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

- A. Classification: Supplemental.
- B. Rationale: The usage of the test material was not explained.
- C. Repairability: Yes, if the test material is always to be used with ammonium sulfate and a surfactant, then the results of the study may be upgraded to the "core" category. However, if the material can be used alone, it must be tested alone.
- 9. **GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS:** With the exception of lack of usage information, no deviations of consequence were noted.

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE:

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Species 6 dicots in 4 families, including soybean and a rootcrop; 4 monocots in 2 families, including corn.	<pre>Dicots: cabbage, cucumber, lettuce, radish, soybean, tomato Monocots: corn, oat, onion, ryegrass</pre>	
Number of seeds per rep	10	
Source of Seed	Commercial suppliers	
Historical % Germination of Seed	≥80%	

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Solvent	None	
Site of test	Greenhouse	
Planting method / type of pot	Planted on the day of application/7.5-cm square pots	
Method of application	Spray booth	



Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Method of watering	Overhead irrigation	
Growth stage at application Seed or plant.	Seed	

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Dose range 2x or 3x	N/A	
Doses At least 5	1.7 lb active ingredient (ai) plus 5.9 lb ammonium sulfate applied per acre plus 2.5 mL of Latron AG 98/L of spray solution	
Controls Negative and solvent	inegative (actomized water)	
Replicates per dose At least 3	5 replicates	
Duration of test 14 days	3 weeks	
Were observations made at least weekly? Observations made on days 14, and 21 after applications		
Maximum labeled rate	1.7 lb ai/A	

12. REPORTED RESULTS:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?	Yes	
Was an NOEL observed for each species?	N/A	
Phytotoxic observations	Yes	
Were initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	No	



Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Were adequate raw data included?	Yes

Results for the most sensitive parameter of each species*

Species	Parameter	Inhibition (%)
Cabbage	dry weight	11
Cucumber	emergence	5
Lettuce	dry weight	24
Radish	height	5
Soybean	no parameter inhibited	N/A
Tomato	· n	N/A
Corn	dry weight	2
Oat	height	16
Onion	11	8
Ryegrass	dry weight	17

^{*}The most sensitive parameter is based on percent inhibition.

Observations: No visual phytotoxicity signs (stunting, chlorosis, leaf desiccation, very poor vigor, or death) were observed on any of the ten test species during the test.

<u>Statistical Method</u>: Analysis of variance was conducted for each species parameter. It was stated that effects on measured parameters were less than 25%.

- 13. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS</u>: Tier 1 testing does not require statistical analyses. Upon review of the raw data, the values were verified and are correct. None of the measured parameters were affected by 25% or greater.
- 14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: The formulated material and ammonium sulfate were mixed in equal amounts (i.e., 5.9 pounds of each per acre). A surfactant (Latron AG 98) was also added to the spray mixture at a concentration of 2.5 mL/L. If the test material is only to be applied in this manner (with ammonium sulfate and surfactant), then this study is scientifically



sound, fulfills the guideline requirements, and can be classified as "core for a formulated product." However, since the usage was not explained by the author, the study is classified as Supplemental.